

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,136	12/23/2002	Wolfgang Heimberg	REN-13087/5800US	2520
39878	7590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MIN, HSIEH & HACK LLP			LEVKOVICH, NATALIA A	
8270 GREEN SUITE 630	ISBORO DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, \	/A 22102		1743	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,136	HEIMBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Natalia Levkovich	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repli tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communica DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	6 August 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	<u>-</u>	•	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 19-52 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a second common second copies.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	elication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		//ail Date	
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and remarks dated 08/26/2005 have been acknowledged by the Examiner and entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. \$112 rejection of claims 49-50 is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The 35 U.S.C. \$102(b) rejection of claims 19-22, 27 and 29-50 as being anticipated by Gordon et al. (USP 5,601,141), is withdrawn in view of the latest amendments.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 19-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon in view of Yasuda et al.(US 6093370).

Application/Control Number: 10/089,136

Art Unit: 1743

Page 3

Gordon discloses a high throughput modular thermo-cycler comprising an array of modules mounted on a base (see the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action). Although Gordon teaches modules being thermally and functionally isolated from one another, each module is configured to receive a separate plate, rather then a segment of a plate, as recited in claims 19 and 51.

Yasuda discloses a sample plate having means for individual heating of specific areas of a single substrate 13, comprising a plurality of heating elements 21 thermally decoupled by gaps (Figures 3-4; Col. 7, line 5-60).

Additionally, Potter et al. (US 5,819842) discloses, as was admitted by Applicant in the Specification, 'a device for the individual, controlled heating of several samples. This device has several flat heating elements [which may be Peltier heating / cooling elements – Ex.] arranged in a grid pattern... The samples are poured into the recesses of the grid plate...'which comprises a single plate (which can be considered a non-standard microtiter plate). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus of Gordon such that it would provide individual and independent heating / cooling of separate segments of one standard microplate (compared to individual and independent heating / cooling of an array of microplates), in order to provide more flexibility (in terms of wider scalability scope), and, consequently, to improve commercial validity of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 1743

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments dated 08/26/2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalia Levkovich whose telephone number is 571-272-2462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8 a.m.-4p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/089,136 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700